Although many animals have the potential to communicate with others of their species, no animal communication system remotely approaches the expressiveness of human language. Humans can speak of times past and potential events, preserving history and technology through oral tradition and planning future acts in detail as a group. This obviously provides human beings with an enormous adaptive advantage over any similar species that can neither benefit from past collective experience nor coordinate the actions of groups with great precision in response to changing circumstances.

The theory of natural selection tells us that individuals with traits that permit them to adapt to their environment better than their competitors are more likely to survive and produce offspring. Those individuals will transmit their traits to their offspring (by means of their genes, a concept not available in Darwin’s day). Thus primates with the human language faculty (HLF) would thus likely reproduce faster than those without human language, other things being equal, because such individuals would belong to communities more successful at exploiting a wide range of environments and circumstances. There is little, if any, debate about this.

This leaves us with a mystery, however. Evolutionary theory, and its central mechanism of natural selection, is an interesting theory precisely because it explains, on the basis of simple and consistent principles, how complex biological structures could arise from small advantages accumulating in populations adapting to environments over long periods of time. But human grammar, the means by which we store our knowledge of language, appears to be a highly complex structure that includes features that do not appear to offer selective advantage.

When we consider what linguists actually know about the grammars present day humans can learn, however, the structure of a natural selection argument becomes even more muddy. For example, a natural selection argument only insures that primate+HLF will outcompete primate–HLF; it does not entail that the internal structure of HLF is itself determined by natural selection. It does not necessarily insure that a hypothetical HLF, HLF#1, which has all the other natural language properties except one linguistic construction K, would fail to compete successfully against HLF#2, which is an HLF that permits K like those found in the world today. It would have to be argued that primates with HLF#1 without K would fail to mate successfully, and so their traits would gradually lose out to the primates with HLF#2. This form of argument about why HLF has the properties it does seems quite dubious. On the basis of what we know about linguistic constructions, it does not seem plausible that human languages have verb agreement because verb agreement has an evolutionary advantage, especially since there are many languages that lack verb agreement altogether, such as Chinese, which is spoken as a first language by more of the world’s people than any other language. So verb-agreement, found in most human languages, cannot have developed because people who have verb-agreement in their language have a better chance of producing healthy and fertile offspring. There are even well-known cases of Specific Language Impairment which involve a hereditary inability to process or correctly produce agreement relations in natural language speech (although the details of the effect are debated). The fact that this impairment runs in families, including families where the parent has SLI, show that this linguistic glitch is not being weeded out by selectional pressure, even when it involves an innate genetically heritable component that leads to sub-normative communication.

If the human language capacity is inborn (a big ‘if’ for some) and if it is complex in its internal
structure (also a big ‘if’ for some), then it poses an interesting problem for evolutionary theory: How can this form of biologically based mental complexity be a product of evolution without the incremental pressure exerted by natural selection? This is an inquiry that cannot be solved without an interdisciplinary approach, and, fortunately, researchers in a wide variety of fields are currently interested in these questions. Using your background in linguistics as a point of departure, we will explore a number of neighboring fields and disciplines from which researchers have staked out positions as to how the languages capacity emerged and has been shaped by evolution. We will then evaluate whether or not these proposals establish what they claim to from our perspective as linguists. We will try to develop a perspective on what such studies must establish to truly address the relevant aspects of the capacity that linguists know humans to have.

Interesting answers to this question are just beginning to emerge, because evolutionary biology has only recently and spottily taken into account what is actually known about the structure of HLF (in class we will discuss why this is so). In addition, much more sophisticated, fine-grained accounts of other human capacities and the capacities of other primates have led to a reassessment of what it exactly is that humans have - and other species do not. The connection between the latter factors and the design of the language faculty are currently quite speculative, but some interesting hypotheses have emerged that we will begin to explore.

The work of the course will begin with a thorough review of evolutionary reasoning about how complexity arises by natural selection and why the application of a natural selection account of certain aspects of human intelligence may not have the right properties to be successful. As we explore the issues that seem problematic for natural selection, we will be led into discussion of the evidence that has been brought to bear on the central question from a variety of other disciplines. For example, students will need to know some basics of brain and vocal tract anatomy, function and pathology and the paleontological record of primate origins. Students will need to know something of the comparative cognition of non-human species, including their communicative practices and abilities. Genetic explanations of behavioral traits will be considered, and measured against social constructions of knowledge. Just how much we explore in any one area will be determined in part by the issues students choose as research topics.

What is expected of you

The core readings for the course will already be in the resources on the sakai site, although some of the papers on the site will not be read by the class as a whole, but are there for those who might be interested. What we do read will be influenced by class discussion and interests, so papers will be added to the sakai as needed. Much of what is on the sakai will not be assigned, but is only there for those whose interests lead them to related topics. Two books on the sakai will be particularly important, namely the textbook by Tecumseh Fitch and the guide to reading Darwin by Ridley. Only one book is required for purchase: Talking Hands by Margalit Fox, ISBN# 0-7432-4713-2, from Simon and Schuster, published 2007. The book has been ordered from Barnes and Noble, though I don't know if you are supposed to pick it up or if you can arrange to have it sent to you. You won't need it until late February. It may not yet be in stock. Because there is so much ground to cover, there will be a lot of reading for this course.

Students are also required to write two 400 word abstracts of papers read in class and one 500 word abstract for papers read outside of class. You will have a rubric for the abstracts. Every student will be part of a collaborative group that will make a presentation in class in the last week.
on a topic to be arranged with me (I will provide a number of potential topics as exemplars). Instead of a final exam, students will be expected to write a paper based on their portion of the class presentation (which will be due about a week after classes end). Thus the final paper, even if it is based on a collaborative project, must include a part for each student to write separately.

Since our group is small and discussion will be an important part of our learning practice in the seminar, I ask that you keep your cameras on during synchronous lectures. This is not a requirement and if you choose not to have your camera on, you will not be penalized in any way, but the best way to facilitate discussion and form bonds for some of the collaborative work you will eventually do, it is an important part of conversation to be able to read people and their concerns a bit better with visual aid.

Learning goals

The course will be designed to expose students to the reasoning and mechanisms that the theory of evolution has given rise to, on the one hand, and the special challenges that the application of these principles poses with respect to the human grammar capacity, on the other. Students who complete the course should be familiar with the advantages and limits of evolutionary reasoning about biological phenomena, familiar with the form of argumentation in linguistic science, and capable of synthesizing the logic of the two while addressing linguistic or language related phenomena.

Grading

Students will be graded on class participation (quality, not volume), particularly the discussion of readings (roughly 15%), on your paper abstracts (30%) on your final presentation (roughly 20%), and on your final paper (35%), but the instructor retains the discretion to adjust these percentages if he thinks it is appropriate to do so, either generally or in a particular case. If I decide that it is useful for students to lead discussion for papers that the whole class reads, the role of in-class participation in your grade will likely increase or allow me to compensate for weaknesses you may have in other kinds of assignments that I must evaluate.

Academic integrity is the responsibility of all of us. If you have any doubts or questions about what this entails, please consult https://deanofstudents.camden.rutgers.edu/academic-integrity.

Office hours - I will be available MW after class, but as long as the pandemic lasts, I am home a lot and I can meet other times, particularly Thursday afternoons after 1:00 and Fridays after 12:00. However, all appointments should be by arrangement - just contact me at safir@rutgers.edu. Do not try to contact me by means of a chat or by answering a site announcement.

Resources

Here I introduce a "boilerplate" statement that I am asked to include, but you should know that I take it seriously and you should too:

The faculty and staff at Rutgers are committed to your success. Students who are successful tend to seek out resources that enable them to excel academically, maintain their health and wellness, prepare for future careers, navigate college life and finances, and connect with the RU community. Resources that can help you succeed and connect with the Rutgers community can be found at success.rutgers.edu, and nearly all services and resources that are typically provided in-person are now available remotely.

There is also a very helpful guide to all kinds of services for students at Rutgers at
https://genetics.rutgers.edu/images/documents/Getting_Help_at_Rutgers.pdf
where you can find out how to connect with counseling services, disability services, financial crises, tutoring, veterans services and much else.

Students who are entitled to accommodations to suit their learning styles should contact me privately and I am happy to connect you with the services available at Rutgers that provide relevant support. I am accustomed to hearing from students who need these services and in my experience, once the playing field is evened out, students who receive accommodations are as successful in my courses as those who do not.

For tech help with Canvas or Sakai, please visit https://it.rutgers.edu/help-support.

Course semester plan
As mentioned in the course description, the level of student interest may influence which topics are given the most attention, with possible effects on the ordering or content of units, particularly after week 6. Student presentations of class reading will be interspersed in this schedule. The final week is reserved for project presentations.

Week 1-2 - The logical problem of language acquisition and the logical problem of language evolution. The fundamentals of evolutionary theory and a bit of its history. Gradualism, saltation, exaptation and emergent properties. The structure of genetic explanation - complex gene interaction in determining genotype and phenotype.

Week 3 - Faculty of language broad and faculty of language narrow. The foundations of an interdisciplinary approach. The status of evidence on the history of cognition.

Week 4 - The comparative method. Cognition in primates and other animals.
Reading: Chapter 4 of Fitch (2010), Pepperberg (2007) ‘Grey parrots do not always ‘parrot’: The roles of imitation and phonological awareness in the creation of new labels from existing vocalizations.’ Further reading to be determined.

Week 5 - The history of primate evolution and the hominid line. The nature of paleontological evidence. Development of the human vocal tract.
Reading: Chapters 5-8 of Fitch (2010).

Week 6 - A proposal for discussion: Bickerton’s protolanguage and the great leap.

Week 7 - The role of modality in linguistic knowledge. The invention of grammar in the right social
context.

**Reading:** Fox (2007).

**Week 8** - A proposal for discussion: Corballis’s sign-before-speech hypothesis. Mirror neurons. Brain anatomy and wiring.

**Week 9-10** - Learning strategies as precursors or determiners of linguistic capacity. Linguistic diversity. First and second language acquisition.

**Week 10-11** - The role of social organization on learning, cognition and linguistic development. The role of theory of mind.

**Week 12-13** - Not planned - will probably be absorbed by extensions of topics that provoke the most student interest.

**Week 14** Student presentations. Students will read material selected by presenters with the approval of the instructor.